



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/874,182	06/04/2001	Donald K. Wadley	10004412-1 5608		
7590 11/30/2004			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EBRAHIMI DEHKORDY, SAEID		
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2626		
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			182	WADLEY, DONALD K.				
Office Action Summary		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Saeid El	brahimi-dehKordy	2626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress			
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply specified above, the maximum si e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and to y will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status	•							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 June 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje	• • •	· ·					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				,			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment	(s)							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Feation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)			

Art Unit: 2626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kojima et al (U.S. patent 6,807,388)

Regarding claim 1 and 19 Kojima et al disclose: A method of operating a printer comprising: monitoring content of one or more documents that are to be printed on a printer (please note Fig.5 item 400, monitoring means, column 10 lines 10-13)) said monitoring taking place within a printer that is to print the one or more documents (please note Fig.5 item 400 column 10 lines 14-16) determining whether the monitored content is of interest to an organization of which the printer comprises a part (please note Fig.5, column 10 lines 16-18 where the content of the document or data is being analyzed before sending the data to be printed) and generating a notification if the content is of interest to the organization (please note column 6 lines 60-67 and column 7 lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 2 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 1, wherein said monitoring comprises receiving and analyzing a data stream in the printer (please note

Art Unit: 2626

Fig.5 item 400, column 10 lines 10-13) the data stream pertaining to the one or more documents that are to be printed (please note column 10 lines 14-17).

Regarding claim 3 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 1, wherein said determining comprises ascertaining whether the content includes one or more keywords or phrases (please note column 6 lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 4 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 1, wherein said determining comprises ascertaining whether the content comprises a particular structure (please note column 6 lines 23-40).

Regarding claim 5 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 1, wherein said generating comprises generating a notification that includes at least a portion of the content that is of interest to the organization (please note column 6 lines 60-67 and column 7 lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 6 Kojima et al disclose: A method of operating a printer comprising: providing a context-analyzer within a printer (please note Fig.5 item 28 the printing information analyzer, column 10 lines 14-16) receiving a data stream into the printer (please note Fig.5 column 9 lines 56-67 and column 10 lines 1-9) the data stream representing a document that is to be printed by the printer (please note Fig.5 item 400, monitoring means, column 10 lines 10-13) providing a data stream to the context-analyzer (please note column 10 lines 10-23) and analyzing the provided data stream with the context-analyzer to ascertain one or more contexts associated with the data stream (please note column 10 lines 36-47).

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 7 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 6, wherein said providing of the context-analyzer comprises delivering the context-analyzer to the printer via a network (please note column 4 lines 59-64).

Regarding claim 8 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 6, wherein said providing of the context-analyzer comprises delivering the context-analyzer in the form of an applet to the printer via a network (please note column 9 lines 56-67 and column 10 lines 1-10).

Regarding claim 9 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 6, wherein said analyzing comprises using a structure detector that is configured to analyze the data stream so that it can ascertain a structure associated with a document that is to be printed (please note column 10 lines 36-47).

Regarding claim 10 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 6, wherein said analyzing comprises using a keyword detector that is configured to analyze the data stream so that it can ascertain one or more keywords or phrases that appear in a document that is to be printed (please note column 7 lines 20-29).

Regarding claim 11 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 6 further comprising self-replicating the context-analyzer to other printers on a network (please note column 14 lines 41-51).

Regarding claim 12 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 1, said self-replicating comprises: seeking out other network printers; copying the context-analyzer; and providing at least one copy of the context-analyzer to the other network printers (please note column 12 lines 47-67 and column 13 lines 1-10).

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 13 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 6 further comprising reporting on the context of the data stream (please note column 6 lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 14 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 13, wherein said reporting comprises reporting context information to a computing entity (please note column 6 lines 60-67 and column 7 lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 15 Kojima et al disclose: A method of operating a printer comprising: defining a document profile (please note Fig.5, column 9 lines 56-65 where the received data is analyzed in command analyzer 22 one by one and therefore making a profile of the data) programming a context-analyzer with the document profile (please note column 9 lines 65-67 and column 10 lines 1-3) providing the context-analyzer within a printer (please note Fig.5 item 22, column 9 lines 56-67 and column 10 lines 1-10) receiving a data stream with the context-analyzer (please note column 9 lines 56-63) the data stream being associated with a document that is to be printed by the printer (please note column 10 lines 14-19) analyzing the data stream with the context-analyzer (please note column 10 lines 14-16) and determining whether the data stream meets the document profile within some degree of certainty (please note column 9 lines 56-67 and column 10 lines 1-24).

Regarding claim 16 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 15, further comprising generating a notification if the document profile is met (please note column 6 lines 60-67 and column 7 lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 17 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 15, wherein said programming occurs after said providing (please note column 9 lines 56-67)

Regarding claim 18 Kojima et al disclose: The method of claim 15, wherein said defining of the document profile comprises doing so using one or more keywords or phrases (please note column 7 lines 20-29).

Regarding claim 20 Kojima et al disclose: The computer-readable media of claim 19, wherein the instructions cause the printer to determine whether the content is of interest by comparing document content with one or more defined profiles that describe information that is of interest to the organization (please note column 6 lines 60-67 and column 7 lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 21,25 and 30 Kojima et al disclose: An apparatus comprising: at least one printer (please note Figs.1 and 5 items 1 and 2 compute and printer respectively) and a context-analyzer resident in said at least one printer (please note Fig.5 item 22 the command analyzer, column 9 lines 56-67) and configured to monitor content of one or more documents that are to be printed on the printer (please note column 10 lines 10-24) and determine whether the content is of interest to an organization of which the printer comprises a part (please note Fig.5, column 10 lines 16-18 where the content of the document or data is being analyzed before sending the data to be printed).

Regarding claim 22 Kojima et al disclose: The apparatus of claim 21, wherein the context-analyzer is configured to generate a notification if the content is of interest to the organization (please note column 6 lines 60-67 and column 7 lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 23 Kojima et al disclose: The apparatus of claim 21, wherein the context-analyzer is configured to self-replicate itself to other printers across a network to which the at least one printer has access (please note column 13 lines 12-21).

Regarding claim 24 Kojima et al disclose: The apparatus of claim 21 further comprising: at least one other printer having a context-analyzer; and a network configured to establish a communication link between the printers (please note column 13 lines 41-49).

Regarding claim 26 Kojima et al disclose: The apparatus of claim 25, wherein the context-analyzer is configured to analyze the data stream by using a structure detector to detect a structure of a document that is to be printed (please note column 10 lines 36-47).

Regarding claim 27 Kojima et al disclose: The apparatus of claim 25, wherein the context-analyzer is configured to analyze the data stream by using a keyword detector that is configured to analyze a data stream so that it can ascertain one or more keywords or phrases that appear in a document that is to be printed (please note column 7 lines 20-29).

Regarding claim 28 Kojima et al disclose: The apparatus of claim 25 further comprising: at least one other printer having a context-analyzer; and a network configured to establish a communication link between the printers (please note column 13 lines 11-21).

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 29 Kojima et al disclose: The apparatus of claim 28, wherein said context-analyzer is configured to self-replicate itself to other printers on the network (please note column 13 lines 12-21).

Regarding claim 31Kojima et al disclose: The architecture of claim 30, wherein the context-analyzers are configured to generate a notification based on the context of a data stream (please note column 6 lines 60-67 and column 7 lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 32 Kojima et al disclose: The architecture of claim 30, wherein the context-analyzers are configured to analyze the data stream by comparing content of the data stream with one or more profiles defining information of interest (please note column 6 lines 60-67 and column 7 lines 1-15).

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saeid Ebrahimi-Dehkordy whose telephone number is (703) 306-3487.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams, can be reached at (703) 305-4863.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

#### Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, or (703) 308-9052 (for *formal* communications; please mark

Art Unit: 2626

## "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Or:

(703) 306-5406 (for *informal* or *draft* communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

**Hand delivered responses** should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

Saeid Ebrahimi-Dehkordy Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2626

November 26, 2004 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER